Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

Two-Sample Inference: Large Samples

# Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Iowa State University

Apr 4, 2013

## Outline

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

Two-Sample Inference: Large Samples

#### Matched Pairs



## Matched pairs

- A matched pairs dataset is for which measurements naturally group into pairs.
- Examples:
  - Practice SAT scores before and after a prep course.
  - Severity of a disease before and after a treatment.
  - Leading edge measurement and trailing edge measurement for each workpiece in a sample.
  - Your height and the height of your friend, measured once each year for several years.
  - Bug bites on on right arm and bug bites on left arm (one has repellent and the other doesn't).

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

- Twelve cars were equipped with radial tires and driven over a test course.
- Then the same 12 cars (with the same drivers) were equipped with regular belted tires and driven over the same course.
- After each run, the cars gas economy (in km/l) was measured.

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Radial	4.2	4.7	6.6	7.0	6.7	4.5
Belted	4.1	4.9	6.2	6.9	6.8	4.4
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Radial	7 5.7	8 6.0	9 7.4	10 4.9	11 6.1	12 5.2

- Using significance level α = 0.05 and the method of critical values, test for a difference in fuel economy between the radial tires and belted tires.
- Construct a 95% confidence interval for true mean difference due to tire type.

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

First, calculate the differences (radial - belted):

	1	2	3	4	5	6
Radial	4.2	4.7	6.6	7.0	6.7	4.5
Belted	4.1	4.9	6.2	6.9	6.8	4.4
Difference	0.1	-0.2	0.4	0.1	-0.1	0.1
	7	8	9	10	11	12
Radial	5.7	6.0	7.4	4.9	6.1	5.2
Belted	5.7	5.8	6.9	4.7	6.0	4.9
Difference	0	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.3

•  $\overline{d} = 0.142$ ,  $s_d = 0.198$ 

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

- 1.  $H_0: \mu_d = 0, \ H_a: \mu_d \neq 0$
- **2**.  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 3. I use the test statistic:

$$K = rac{\overline{d} - 0}{s_d/\sqrt{n}}$$

which has a  $t_{n-1} = t_{11}$  distribution, assuming:

- ► H<sub>0</sub> is true.
- $d_1, \ldots, d_{12}$  were independent draws from  $N(\mu_d, \sigma_d^2)$
- ▶ I will reject  $H_0$  if  $|K| > |t_{11,1-\alpha/2}| = t_{11,0.975} = 2.20$
- 4. The moment of truth:

$$K = rac{0.142}{0.198/\sqrt{12}} = 2.48$$

- 5. With K = 2.48 > 2.20, I reject  $H_0$ .
- 6. There is enough evidence to conclude that the fuel economy differs between radial tires and belted tires.

#### Iowa State University

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

The two-sided 95% confidence interval for the true mean fuel economy difference is:

$$= \left(\overline{d} - t_{11,1-\alpha/2} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \overline{d} - t_{11,1-\alpha/2} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
  
=  $\left(0.142 - t_{11,0.975} \frac{0.198}{\sqrt{12}}, \ 0.142 + t_{11,0.975} \frac{0.198}{\sqrt{12}}\right)$   
=  $\left(0.142 - 2.20 \cdot 0.057, \ 0.142 + 2.20 \cdot 0.057\right)$   
=  $\left(0.0166, \ 0.2674\right)$ 

We're 95% confident that for the car type studied, radial tires get between 0.0166 km/l and 0.2674 km/l more in fuel economy than belted tires. Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

## Your Turn: wood product

- Consider the operation of an end-cut router in the manufacture of a company's wood product.
- Both a leading-edge and a trailing-edge measurement were made on each wooden piece to come off the router.

Leading-Edge and Trailing-Edge Dimensions for Five Workpieces

Piece	Leading-Edge Measurement (in.)	Trailing-Edge Measurement (in.)
1	.168	.169
2	.170	.168
3	.165	.168
4	.165	.168
5	.170	.169

- Is the leading edge measurement different from the trailing edge measurement for a typical wood piece? Do a hypothesis test at α = 0.05 to find out.
- Make a two-sided 95% confidence interval for the true mean of the difference between the measurements.

(c) Will Landau

#### Iowa State University

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

### Answers: wood product

Take paired differences (leading edge - trailing edge).

Piece	d = Dif	ference in Dimensions (in.)
1	001	(= .168169)
2	.002	(=.170168)
3	003	(=.165168)
4	003	(=.165168)
5	.001	(=.170169)

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

- ► The sample mean is  $\overline{d} = -8 \times 10^{-4}$ , and the sample standard deviation is  $s_d = 0.0023$ .
- Let  $\mu_d$  be the true mean of the differences.

### Answers: wood product

- $1. \quad H_0: \mu_d=0, \ H_a: \mu_d\neq 0.$
- 2.  $\alpha = 0.05, n = 5.$
- 3. Since  $\sigma_d$  is unknown, I use the test statistic:

$$K = rac{\overline{d} - 0}{s_d/\sqrt{n}}$$

- Assume  $d_1, \ldots, d_5 \sim N(\mu_d, \sigma_d^2)$
- $K \sim t_{n-1} = t_4$ .
- Reject  $H_0$  if  $|K| > |t_{4, 1-\alpha/2}|$
- 4. The moment of truth:

$$K = \frac{-8 \times 10^{-4} - 0}{0.0023/\sqrt{5}} = -0.78$$
$$t_{4,1-\alpha/2} = t_{4,1-0.05/2} = t_{4,0.975} = 2.78$$

- 5. Since  $|K| = 0.78 \neq 2.78 = t_{4,0.975}$ , I fail to reject  $H_0$ .
- 6. There is not enough evidence to conclude that the leading edge measurements differ significantly from the trailing edge measurements.

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### Answers: wood product

▶ I can make a two-sided 95% confidence interval for  $\mu_d$  in the usual way:

$$\left( \overline{d} - t_{4, \ 1 - \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \overline{d} + t_{4, \ 1 - \alpha/2} \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

$$= \left( -8 \times 10^{-4} - t_{4,0.975} \cdot \frac{0.0023}{\sqrt{5}}, \ -8 \times 10^{-4} + t_{4,0.975} \cdot \frac{0.0023}{\sqrt{5}} \right)$$

$$= \left( -8 \times 10^{-4} - 2.78 \cdot 0.0010, \ -8 \times 10^{-4} + 2.78 \cdot 0.0010 \right)$$

$$= \left( -0.00358, 0.00198 \right)$$

We are 95% confident that the true mean difference between leading edge and trailing edge measurements is between -0.00358 in and 0.001298 in. Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

#### Matched Pairs

### Outline

Matched Pairs

Two-Sample Inference: Large Samples

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

## Two-sample inference

- Comparing the means of two distinct populations without pairing up individual measurements.
- Examples:
  - SAT scores of high school A vs. high school B.
  - Severity of a disease in women vs. in men.
  - ► Heights of New Zealanders vs. heights of Ethiopians.
  - Coefficients of friction after wear of sandpaper A vs. sandpaper B.
- Notation:

Sample	1	2
Sample size	$n_1$	<i>n</i> <sub>2</sub>
True mean	$\mu_1$	$\mu_2$
Sample mean	$\overline{x}_1$	$\overline{x}_2$
True variance	$\sigma_1^2$	$\sigma_2^2$
Sample variance	$s_1^2$	$s_{2}^{2}$

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### $n_1 \ge 25$ and $n_2 \ge 25$ , variances known

- We want to test  $H_0: \mu_1 \mu_2 = \#$  with some alternative hypothesis
- If  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are known, use the test statistic:

$$K = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - \#}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

which has a N(0,1) distribution if:

- ► H<sub>0</sub> is true.
- The sample 1 points are iid with mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , and the sample 2 points are iid with mean  $\mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma_2^2$ .

The confidence intervals (2-sided, 1-sided upper, and 1-sided lower, respectively) for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) - z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}, \ (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) + z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\infty, \ (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) + z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) - z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}, \ \infty \end{pmatrix} \end{cases}$$

© Will Landau

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### $n_1 \ge 25$ and $n_2 \ge 25$ , variances UNknown

• If  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are UNknown, use the test statistic:

$$K = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - \#}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

• And confidence intervals for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) - z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}, \ (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) + z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\infty, \ (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) + z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_{1}} - \overline{x}_{2}) - z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}, \ \infty \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

- A company research effort involved finding a workable geometry for molded pieces of a solid.
- One comparison made was between the weight (in grams) of molded pieces of a particular geometry that could be poured into a standard container, and the weight of irregularly shaped pieces (obtained through crushing), that could be poured into the same container.
- ▶ n<sub>1</sub> = 24 crushed pieces and n<sub>2</sub> = 24 molded pieces were made and weighed.
- µ<sub>1</sub> is the true mean packing weight of the crushed pieces, and µ<sub>2</sub> is the true mean packing weight of the molded pieces.
- I want to formally test the claim that the crushed weights are greater than the molded weights.

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

1. 
$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0, \ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$$

- **2**.  $\alpha = 0.05$
- 3. The test statistic is:

$$\mathcal{K} = rac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - 0}{\sqrt{rac{s_1^2}{n_1} + rac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

- n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are each < 25, but each sample is normally distributed enough to flex that rule and allow</li>
   n<sub>1</sub> = n<sub>2</sub> = 24.
- Assume the crushed weights are iid  $(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ .
- Assume the molded weights are iid  $(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ .
- $K \sim N(0,1)$  under the null hypothesis.

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

4. The moment of truth:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K} &= \frac{\left(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2\right) - 0}{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = \frac{179.55 - 132.97 - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{(8.34)^2}{24} + \frac{(9.31)^2}{24}}} = 18.3\\ \text{p-value} &= P(Z > K) = 1 - \Phi(K) = 1 - \Phi(18.3)\\ &= 4 \times 10^{-75} \end{split}$$

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

- 5. With a p-value of  $4 \times 10^{-75} < \alpha$ , we reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a$ .
- 6. There is overwhelming evidence that more crushed solid material by weight can be poured into the container than molded solid material.

► The analogous lower 95% confidence interval for µ<sub>1</sub> - µ<sub>2</sub> is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_1} - \overline{x}_2) - z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}, \ \infty \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (179.55 - 132.97) - z_{0.95} \sqrt{\frac{(8.34)^2}{24} + \frac{(9.31)^2}{24}}, \ \infty \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (46.58 - 1.64 \cdot 2.55, \ \infty)$$

$$= (42.40, \ \infty)$$

 We're 95% confident that the true mean packing weight of crushed solids is at least 42.40 g greater than that of the molded solids. Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

Molded		Crushed
7.9	11	
4.5, 3.6, 1.2	12	
9.8, 8.9, 7.9, 7.1, 6.1, 5.7, 5.1	12	
2.3, 1.3, 0.0	13	
8.0, 7.0, 6.5, 6.3, 6.2	13	
2.2, 0.1	14	
	14	
2.1, 1.2, 0.2	15	
	15	
	16	1.8
	16	5.8, 9.6
	17	1.3, 2.0, 2.4, 3.3, 3.4, 3.7
	17	6.6, 9.8
	18	0.2, 0.9, 3.3, 3.8, 4.9
	18	5.5, 6.5, 7.1, 7.3, 9.1, 9.8
	19	0.0, 1.0
	19	

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### Your turn: anchor bolts

An experiment carried out to study various characteristics of anchor bolts resulted in 78 observations on shear strength (kip) of 3/8-in. diameter bolts and 88 observations on strength of 1/2-in. diameter bolts.

Variable	N	Mean	Median	TrMean	StDev	SEMean
diam 3/8	78	4.250	4.230	4.238	1.300	0.147
Variable	Min	Max	Q1	Q3		
diam 3/8	1.634	7.327	3.389	5.075		
Variable	N	Mean	Median	TrMean	StDev	SEMean
Variable diam 1/2	N 88	Mean 7.140	Median 7.113	TrMean 7.150	StDev 1.680	SEMean 0.179
Variable diam 1/2 Variable	N 88 Min	Mean 7.140 Max	Median 7.113 Q1	TrMean 7.150 Q3	StDev 1.680	SEMean 0.179

- Let Sample 1 be the 1/2 in diameter bolts and Sample 2 be the 3/8 in diameter bolts.
- Using a significance level of α = 0.01, find out if the 1/2 in bolts are more than 2 kip stronger (in shear strength) than the 3/8 in bolts.
- Calculate and interpret the appropriate 99% confidence interval to support the analysis.

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### Answers: anchor bolts

1. 
$$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 2, \ H_a: \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 2$$

**2**.  $\alpha = 0.01$ 

3. The test statistic is:

$${\cal K}=rac{(\overline{x}_1-\overline{x}_2)-2}{\sqrt{rac{s_1^2}{n_1}+rac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

Assume:

- ► H<sub>0</sub> is true.
- Sample 1 points are drawn from iid (μ<sub>1</sub>, σ<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>) distributions.
- Sample 2 points are drawn from iid (μ<sub>2</sub>, σ<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>) distributions.
- Then,  $K \sim N(0,1)$

Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### Answers: anchor bolts

4. The moment of truth:

$$K = \frac{(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2) - 2}{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} = \frac{(7.14 - 4.25) - 2}{\sqrt{\frac{(1.68)^2}{88} + \frac{(1.3)^2}{78}}} = 3.84$$
  
p-value =  $P(Z > K) = 1 - P(Z \le K) = 1 - P(Z \le 3.84)$   
=  $1 - \Phi(3.84) \approx 0$ 

- 5. With a p-value  $\approx 0 < \alpha = 0.01$ , we reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a$ .
- 6. There is overwhelming evidence that the 1/2 in anchor bolts are more than 2 kip stronger in shear strength than the 3/8 in bolts.

Will Landau

Matched Pairs

### Answers: anchor bolts

• I use a lower confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\overline{x_1} - \overline{x}_2) - z_{1-\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}, \infty \end{pmatrix}$$
  
=  $\left( (7.14 - 4.25) - z_{0.99} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1.68^2}{88} + \frac{1.3^2}{78}}, \infty \right)$   
=  $(2.89 - 2.33 \cdot 0.232, \infty)$   
=  $(2.35, \infty)$ 

We're 99% confident that the true mean shear strength of the 1/2 in anchor bolts is at least 2.35 kip more than the true mean shear strength of the 3/8 in anchor bolts. Inference for Matched Pairs and Two-Sample Data

Will Landau

Matched Pairs